



GREGORY L BRADLEY  
MEDRAD INC  
ONE MEDRAD DRIVE  
INDIANOLA PA 15051

**COPY MAILED**

**JUL 07 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
James E. Dvorsky .  
Application No. 10/060,561  
Filed: January 30, 2002  
Attorney Docket Number: VI/99-020

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed April 5, 2005, to revive the above identified application.


The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the non-Final Office Action mailed September 29, 2004. A shortened statutory period of three months was set for replying to the non-Final Office Action. No extensions of time having been requested, this application became abandoned December 30, 2004. The instant petition and this decision on petition precede the mailing of the Notice of Abandonment.

Petitioner files the instant petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b).<sup>1</sup>

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center 3737 for appropriate action on the amendment filed April 5, 2005.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

  
Patricia Faison-Ball  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

<sup>1</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).